

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,396	07/02/2003	Daniel W. Mauney	1033-T00142-C2	3179
60533	7590 08/01/2006		EXAMINER	
TOLER SCHAFFER, LLP			DOAN, KIET M	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ON THE LAKES		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 265			AKTONII	TATER NOMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78746			2617	
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Application No.   Applicant(s)							
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Section   Sect	Office Action Summany						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercision of time may be availation under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(). In an everal, however, may reply be timely fined in the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(). In an everal, however, may reply be timely fined in 18 MO period for reply is specified above, the maintens statutory period will apply and will expect SIX (6) MAINT from the mailing date of this communication. Fellules to night within the set or excelled period for reply its period period for reply its period period for reply in specified above, the maintens date of this communication, even if inmity fined, may reduce any secure period time replaneting. Set 97 CFR 1.76(4).  Status  Status  Status  This action is FINAL. 20) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) Secretary accepted or bi objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) Secretary accepted or bi objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) Secretary accepted or bi objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.356(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - Expiration of time and the provision of 37 CFR 1.356(a). The certification is period to reply the public beautiful to the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.356(a). The certification is period to reply with the state of the communication.  - Fallure to reply whithe the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causes the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 13.3).  - Fallure to reply whithe the set or extended period for reply will. By statute, causes the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 13.3).  - Fallure to reply whithe the set or extended period for reply will. By statute, causes the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 13.3).  - Fallure to reply whithe the set or extended period for reply will. By statute, causes the application of the communication, which is application in a control patient from statute patient term statute.  - Application of the set of the provision of all was except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - Application of Pallure and the application of a claim (a) is a cause of the provision							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elemeines of time may be available under the provisions of 37 FR 11:806, Inn. event., hower, may a reply be timely field after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the state of the st							
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2003.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Altachment(s)  11) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) or paper No(s)/Mail Date	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * ○)  None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1)  Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-982) 2)  Notice of Informal Patient Application (PTO-143) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5)  Notice of Informal Patient Application (PTO-152)	Status						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * ○)  None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1)  Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-982) 2)  Notice of Informal Patient Application (PTO-143) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5)  Notice of Informal Patient Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	ılv 2003					
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Professor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) so Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filled on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,,_	-,-					
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)  5) Notice of Information Placetime (PTO-152)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☒ Notice of Terfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Notice of Terfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)  5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☒ Notice of Terfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Notice of Terfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)  5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02							
6) Claim(s) 7-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-82) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892)  2] ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		armier. Note the attached Office	Addidit of 101111 1 10-102.				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-4, 9-15, 18, 20-21, 24-25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schiffer (Patent No. 6,871,063).

Consider **claims 1, 12, 21 and 28**. Schiffer teaches a proximal wireless communication device comprising:

a memory including a plurality of entries identifying a set of wireless network devices, each entry of the plurality of entries associated with a wireless network device of the set of wireless network devices and including a unique device identification number (Abstract, CC2, L24-30, C4,L10-31, Fig.1 Illustrate the mobile phone 100 contain SIM 101 which read on memory and communicated in Bluetooth/short range 103 with computer system 110 which read on wireless net work device and mobile phone transmit access code to computer system means as entry of the plurality of entries associated with wireless network device); and

wireless communication circuitry configured to transmit a find signal to determine whether the wireless network device associated with a selected entry of the plurality of entries is within range to establish a handset-to-handset communication (C4, L24-56

Art Unit: 2617

teach access code transmit from mobile phone (read as entry of the plurality of entries associated) to computer system and when the computer verify/determine then grants user access to computer system which means as establish a handset-to-handset communication).

Consider **claims 2**. Schiffer teaches the proximal wireless communication device of claim 1, wherein the wireless communication circuitry is configured to receive a response signal indicating that the wireless network device associated with the selected entry including is within range to establish a handset-to-handset communication (C4, L24-56)

Consider **claim 3**. Schiffer teaches the proximal wireless communication device of claim 2, wherein the memory further includes a record indicating a found status associated with a unique device identification number included in the response signal (C2, L39-53, C4, L24-38, Fig.1 Illustrate mobile phone contain short range circuit means as proximal wireless communication device and SIM 101 as memory includes a record indicating a found status).

Consider **claim 4**. Schiffer teaches the proximal wireless communication device of claim 1, wherein the wireless communication circuitry is configured to transmit a list of the set of wireless network devices to the particular wireless network device associated with the selected entry (C4, L24-28).

Consider **claims 9 and 18**. Schiffer teaches the proximal wireless communication device of claim 1, wherein at least one of the plurality of entries is manually entered by a user (C3, L34-35, C4, L5-9, Fig.1, Illustrate keypad which for manually entered by a user).

Consider **claim 10**. Schiffer teaches the proximal wireless communication device of claim 1, wherein at least one of the plurality of entries is acquired via a link to a computational device (C2, L5-10 teach transmitting information via wireless link).

Consider **claim 11**. Schiffer teaches the proximal wireless communication device of claim 1, wherein at least one of the plurality of entries is acquired via a transmission from the wireless communication device (C2, L5-14 teach transmission information from mobile phone wherein contain at least one of the plurality of entries)

Consider **claim 13**. Schiffer teaches the method of claim 12, further comprising: initiating a communication with the wireless communication device (C3, L56-67, C4, L1-9)

Consider **claims 14 and 24**. Schiffer teaches the method of claim 13, wherein the communication comprises a voice communication (C2, L55-57, C3, L35-37 teach mobile phone can be perform voice communication).

Consider **claims 15 and 25**. Schiffer teaches the method of claim 13, wherein the communication comprises a short range message communication (C3, L56-63)

Consider **claim 20**. Schiffer teaches the method of claim 12, wherein the plurality of authorized wireless communication devices are authorized by a service provider for direct wireless communication (C3, L25-35 teach authorized to the mobile phone means as authorized by a service provider for direct wireless communication).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8, 16-17, 19, 22-23 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schiffer (Patent No. 6,871,063) in view of Jonsson et al. (Pub. No. 2003/0036350).

Consider **claim 5**. Schiffer teaches the limitation of claim as discuss above **but silent on** the proximal wireless communication device of claim 1, wherein the wireless communication circuitry is configured to issue a page message including the unique identification number associated with the selected entry.

In an analogous art, Jonsson teaches "Method and apparatus for selective service access". Further, Jonsson teaches the proximal wireless communication

Art Unit: 2617

device of claim 1, wherein the wireless communication circuitry is configured to issue a page message including the unique identification number associated with the selected entry (Paragraphs [0029-0030], Fig.5, Illustrate establish a connection in short range sending page message).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made that person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Schiffer and Jonsson system, such that the wireless communication circuitry is configured to issue a page message to provide means for the users have variety of identification number associated to select entry.

Consider **claim 6**. Jonsson teaches the proximal wireless communication device of claim 5, wherein the wireless communication circuitry is configured to receive a page response including the unique identification number associated with the selected entry; and wherein the wireless communication circuitry is configured to establish a voice communication transmission associated with the unique identification number (Paragraphs 0042], [0047])

Consider **claim 7**. Jonsson teaches the proximal wireless communication device of claim 5, wherein the wireless communication circuitry is configured to issue a page message including a second unique identification number associated with a second selected entry in the plurality of entries (Paragraph [0032])

Consider **claim 8**. Jonsson teaches the proximal wireless communication device of claim 7, wherein the wireless communication circuitry is configured to receive a page response including the second unique identification number associated with the second selected entry; and wherein the wireless communication circuitry is configured to establish a voice communication transmission associated with the second unique identification number (Paragraphs [0027-0028], [0037], [0047]).

Consider **claim 16**. Jonsson teaches the method of claim 13, wherein the communication comprises a list of identified wireless communication devices (Paragraph [0007]).

Consider **claims 17 and 26**. Jonsson teaches the method of claim 16, wherein the list of identified wireless communication devices is incorporated into the plurality of entries (Paragraphs [0007], [0022-0024]).

Consider **claims 19 and 27**. Jonsson teaches the method of claim 12, wherein the response message is received on a registry channel (Paragraph [0027-0028] teach channel access code means as message is received on a registry channel).

Consider **claim 22**. Jonsson teaches the method of claim 21, further comprising: providing notification of the call request (Paragraphs [0030-0031], [0043]).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,396 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

Consider **claim 23**. Jonsson teaches The method of claim 21, further comprising: negotiating a direct connection channel with the second wireless communication device; and initiating a communication with the second wireless communication device over the direct connection channel (Paragraphs [0032-0035]).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-7863. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER